

Dana Gierdowski

North Carolina State University

HETL 2013 Presentation: “Innovations in Higher Education Spaces: Pedagogical Perspectives on Design, Collaboration, and Technology” with Russell Carpenter and Ben Lauren

### **Goal for Writing the Chapter**

To consolidate & synthesize a variety of empirical studies to assist others when planning studies/ assessments of learning spaces. I limited the content to studies in higher education and chose studies that represented a variety of methods and perspectives.

### **Key Takeaways**

- Employ a **mixed methods** approach for triangulation and aggregation
  - Key studies regarding the SCALE-UP model – “Student-Centered Active Learning Environment with Upside-down Pedagogies” from physics education researchers (Beichner, et al.)
- Avoid **learning outcomes** as a *sole* variable
  - the connections drawn “between space design and learning outcomes [are] weak at best” and can frequently hide multiple factors (Temple, 2008, p. 237).
  - “we cannot separate out the participants, the activities and the contexts in analyzing how space works; to do so is to over-simplify and potentially misunderstand” (Boys, 2011, p. 129).
  - Brooks (2012) cautions that we should “be suspicious of over-simplified interpretations of these results that might attribute agency to what is really an inert physical space, thereby suggesting that the space directly caused increased levels of learning” (“Space and consequences,” Literature Review section, n.p.)
- Employ **innovative methods** – the study of library spaces
  - Foster and Gibbons (2007) - flipchart responses, design workshops, mapping diaries, photo surveys
- The benefits of **ethnography**
  - Boys’ (2011): ethnography can capture the “sophistication of participant responses” versus simple “likes and dislikes” of the physical properties of the space (p. 87).
  - Melhuish (2011a): “Different individuals’ experience of embodiment within particular settings and their perception and response of the same settings may differ considerably, reflecting differences in age, gender, personality, physical characteristics and cultural and social experience” (p. 23)

### **Selected Bibliography**

- Beichner, R., Bernold, L., Burniston, E., Dail, P., Felder, R., Gastineau, J., . . . Risley, J. (1999). Case study of the physics component of an integrated curriculum. *American Journal of Physics*, 67(S1), S16-S24.
- Beichner, R. J., Saul, J. M., Abbott, D. S., Morse, J. J., Deardorff, D. L., Allain, R. J., . . . S., R. J. (2007). The Student-Centered Activities for Large Enrollment Undergraduate

- Programs (SCALE-UP) Project. In E. F. Redish & P. J. Cooney (Eds.), *Research-Based Reform of University Physics* (Vol. 1, pp. 2-42). College Park, MD: American Association of Physics Teachers.
- Bligh, B., & Pearshouse, I. (2011). Doing Learning Space Evaluations. In A. Boddington & J. Boys (Eds.), *Re-Shaping Learning: A Critical Reader. The Future of Learning Spaces in Post-Compulsory Education* (pp. 3-18). Rotterdam: Sense.
- Boys, J. (2011). *Towards Creative Learning Spaces*. London: Routledge.
- Brooks, D. C. (2012). Space and consequences: The impact of different formal learning spaces on instructor and student behavior. *Journal of Learning Spaces*, 1(2). Retrieved from Journal of Learning Spaces website: <http://libjournal.uncg.edu/ojs/index.php/jls/>
- Foster, N., & Gibbons, S. (2007). Studying Students: The Undergraduate Research Project at the University of Rochester: Association of College and Research Libraries.
- Melhuish, C. (2010). *Ethnographic case study: perceptions of three new learning spaces and their impact on the learning and teaching process at the Universities of Sussex and Brighton*. unpublished paper. Centre for Excellence in Teaching and Learning in Creativity, Universities of Brighton and Centre for Excellence in Teaching and Learning in Design, University of Brighton. Retrieved from [http://arts.brighton.ac.uk/\\_\\_data/assets/pdf\\_file/0011/18488/LS-Case-Study-3.pdf](http://arts.brighton.ac.uk/__data/assets/pdf_file/0011/18488/LS-Case-Study-3.pdf)
- Melhuish, C. (2011a). Methods for understanding the relationships between learning and space. In A. Boddington & J. Boys (Eds.), *Re-Shaping learning: A critical reader. The future of learning spaces in post-compulsory education* (pp. 19-31). Rotterdam: Sense Publishers.
- Melhuish, C. (2011b). What matters about space for learning: Exploring perceptions and experiences. In A. Boddington & J. Boys (Eds.), *Re-Shaping learning: A critical reader. The future of learning spaces in post-compulsory education* (pp. 81-91). Rotterdam: Sense Publishers.
- Temple, P. (2008). Learning spaces in higher education: an under-researched topic. *London Review of Education*, 6(3), 229-241. doi: 10.1080/14748460802489363