

Lead Faculty Workload Model

Julee Waldrop, DNP

Susan Chase, EdD

College of Nursing



Trends in Higher Education

- Increase in “distance learning”
- Increase enrollment without buildings
- Requires more faculty time

Trends in Higher Education

- Increase in Part-time/Adjunct Faculty
 - Decrease in state appropriations
 - Cost saving measure
 - Doubling in last 20 years
 - 54% of teachers in 4 yr institutions
 - UCF Grad department – 47% of courses

Trends in Higher Education

Pros

- Flexibility
- Current “real world” expertise
- partnerships

• Cons

- Not as committed to teaching
- Other conflicting commitments
- Less education specific preparation

Workload Expectations

- Faculty work expectations outside of teaching
- Curriculum
- Program evaluation
- Student tracking after graduation
- Advising
- Research/scholarship
- Service – self governance
- Service - community

Enrollment Pressure

- Affordable Care Act
- IOM report
- Lack of primary care providers
- Nurse faculty shortage (graduate teaching)

Problem

- 383 students in 8 distinct graduate programs
- 13 FT faculty (3 positions vacant – 19%)
- 47% of courses have sections taught by adjunct faculty
- You can see the increased workload here for FT faculty!

-
- Develop clear roles for FT faculty and adjunct faculty teaching sections of the same course
 - Maintain consistent content
 - Maintain consistent quality
 - Develop a method for quantifying the varying workloads that resulted

Assumptions

- Preparation of graduate nursing professionals
- All courses W or M mode exclusively
- External competency and certification
 - Tightly integrated curriculum
 - Core courses serve multiple specialty tracks
 - Courses with clinical component
- Accreditation and outcome requirements
- Committed to program quality and improvement
- Committed to transparent and equitable workload



University of
Central
Florida

Lead Faculty Member (LFM) and Supporting Faculty Member (SFM) Responsibilities

- Administrator
 - Assign a FT faculty as LFM
 - Assign other faculty /adjunct faculty as SFM

LFM Responsibility

- Before class starts
 - Request LFM in all sections of course as “teacher or designer”
 - Order books and secure for adjunct faculty
 - Option: Combine sections into one web course site
 - Revise syllabus as needed
 - If combined course: Set up groups based on enrollment in sections (so SPI will match)
 - Lead faculty may have own section of course or may NOT
 - Meet (f2f or virtual) with supporting faculty member(s) to discuss course

LFM Responsibilities

- Ongoing
 - Monitor general question/answer discussion
 - Monitor and support new faculty or adjunct faculty in course activities per guidelines



SFM Responsibilities

- Before semester starts
 - Meet with Lead faculty member (f2f or virtual)
 - Review syllabus and provide feedback to Lead Faculty
 - Check enrollments and groups to be sure correct
- First time faculty in course – by drop/add date
 - Complete LMS training
 - Turn-it-in training and account (if applicable)
 - Review all modules available

Supporting Faculty Responsibilities

- Ongoing
 - Regular interaction with students through email, discussion, assessments
 - Timely feedback on assignments
 - Document alternative communications
 - Phone calls, skype, face time etc.



Faculty Expectations

- Email response within 48 hours (M-F)
- Enter course daily (avg. 5 days/week)
- Interact (teach) with students
- Documentation
 - in discussion board (postings)
 - If alternative communications (phone, skype etc.) document with follow up email
- Assignment feedback/grades within 7 days*

In general, depending on assignment

Impact on Workload: Previous System

Faculty Rank	Fall Term	Spring Term	Approx. Course Equivalence
Tenured or tenure earning – all ranks	.75 Teaching* .10 Service .15 Research	.50 Teaching* .10 Service .40 Research	3/2 or 2/3
Clinical – all ranks	.75 Teaching* .10 Service .15 Clinical/ Scholarship/ Practice	.75 Teaching* .10 Service .15 Clinical/ Scholarship/ Practice	3/3
Instructor	.90 Teaching .10 Service	.90 Teaching .10 Service	4/4
Administrative Duties	Varies	Varies	

* Instruction Calculation: Didactic Courses = .08 per credit hour (e.g. 3 credit course = .24 FTE)

Workload Model Comparisons

Course (Cr Hr) Role	Students*	Enrollment Factor**	Own Section	Clinical Factor***	LFWM Total	Prior Model
(3) LFM	81	.16	.24	0	.40	.24
(2) LFM w/ clinical	45	.08	.16	.12	.36	.16
(3) LFM	3	0	.24	0	.24	.24
(3) LFM Not own section	34	.04	LFM	0	.04	0
(3) SFM	15	0	.20	0	.20	.24
(3) LFM Clinical ^a	25	n/a	n/a	.12	.12	.24

*If LFM, total number enrolled in all sections

**Enrollment factor: < 20=0; 21-41=.04; 41-60=.08; 60-80=.12; > 80=.16

***Clinical Factor: < 8=.04; 9-24=.08; 25-48=.12; 49-72=.16

^a no direct supervision

Recalculation of Workload: Tenure Track Faculty

Course (Cr Hr) (Role)	Students*	Enrollment Factor**	Own Section	Clinical Factor ***	LFWM Total	Prior Model
Physical Assessment (3) and Lab (LFM)	46	.08	.16	.12	.36	.24
Evidence Based Practice (3) (LFM)	39	.04	.28	n/a	.28	.24
Service					.10	.10
Research					.40	.40
Total					1.14	.98

*If LFM, total number enrolled in all sections

**Enrollment factor: < 20=0; 21-41=.04; 41-60=.08; 60-80=.12; > 80=.16

***Clinical Factor: < 8=.04; 9-24=.08; 25-48=.12; 49-72=.16

Recalculation of Workload: Clinical Track Faculty

Course (Cr Hr) (Role)	Students *	Enrollment Factor**	Own Section	Clinical Factor ***	LFWM Total	Prior Model
Nursing Environments (3) SFM	15	0	.20	n/a	.20	.24
Clinical Leadership (3) (LFM) ^a	25	n/a	n/a	.12	.12	.24
Leadership Practicum (3) (LFM) ^a	26	0	.08	.12	.20	.24
Service					.10	.10
Scholarship/ Practice					.15	.15
Total					.77	.97

* If LFM, total number enrolled in all sections; **Enrollment factor: < 20=0; 21-41=.04; 41-60=.08; 60-80=.12; > 80=.16

***Clinical Factor: < 8=.04; 9-24=.08; 25-48=.12; 49-72=.16; ^a no direct supervision

Faculty Survey

Feature	Importance Mean (Range)*	Likely result in achievement Mean (Range)*
Recognition of faculty work outside of direct teaching	4.9 (4-5)	4.4 (3-5)
Fairness in calculating workload factors	4.7 (4-5)	4.4 (3-5)
Ease of understanding how workload factors are assigned	4.55 (3-5)	4.20 (3-5)
Increase time for me to meet my research/ scholarship goals	4.6 (2-5)	3.8 (2-5)
Lead to higher quality educational offerings	4.6 (3-5)	4.0 (3-5)

* Scale of 0 = not at all important or likely to 5 = extremely important or likely

Limitations?

- Does not address clinical requirement of faculty to maintain certification
- Small number of faculty involved in evaluation
- Has only been used over 2 semesters

Benefits for Faculty

- Increased accountability for course quality (LFM)
- Recognition of increased workload (LFM)
- Start up and maintenance workload reduced (SFM)
- Clear reporting lines – leadership (LFM/SFM)
- Mentoring and monitoring (LFM/SFM)

Benefits to Students

- **Every student in a specific course receives same content in same format each and subsequent semesters**
- Everyone builds knowledge and skills consistently across the curriculum
- Decreases gaps and repetitions
- Assignments are consistent across sections
- Grading expectations are more consistent
- Decrease variability in teaching style

Benefits to Administration

- Consistency = improved program quality
- Increased accountability
- Improved use of evaluation data
- More efficient communication with LMS
- Enhanced fairness and transparency of workload related to teaching

Conclusions

- Improved processes for managing courses with experienced and inexperienced, full and part-time or adjunct faculty
- Including mentoring and monitoring
- Enhanced transparency and fairness of workload
- Improved quality and consistency for students
- Improved quality of programs